

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/833,609	WEIR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J Stein	1775	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Stephen J Stein (Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Richard Weir (Pro-Se Inventor).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Stephen J Stein
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was telephoned and informed that that applicants' allowed patent application could not go to publication until the figure on page 21 of the specification was deleted. This figure was already duplicated in applicants drawings, so it was agreed to delete the figure in the specification by Examiner's Amendment. (See attached Examiner's Amendment).